IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA ROANOKE DIVISION

NOV 23 2015

HOWARD Z. GARNETT,)	CASE NO. 7:14CV00452	
Petitioner,)	ORDER	
v.)	ORDER	
HAROLD CLARKE, DIRECTOR,)	By: Hon. Glen E. Conrad Chief United States District Judge	
Respondent.)	Chief United States District Judge	

In accordance with the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is hereby

ADJUDGED AND ORDERED

as follows:

- 1. The clerk **SHALL** reopen the case for consideration of petitioner's <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> motion to alter or amend the judgment under Rule 59(e) and related motions;
- 2. Petitioner's motion to amend his Rule 59(e) motion (ECF No. 19) and his motion to produce evidence in support of that amendment (ECF No. 26) are **DENIED**;
- 3. Petitioner's Rule 59(e) motion (ECF No. 15) is **DENIED**;
- 4. Because petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right as required by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1), a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**; and
- 5. The clerk will close the case.

ENTER: This 23^M day of November, 2015.

Chief United States District Judge